

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. |                 | FILING DATE               |            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| •               | 10/780,973      | 0.973 02/18/2004          |            | Diane Harris Boschelli | AM101214            | 1683             |
|                 | 25291<br>WYETH  | 7590                      | 02/04/2008 |                        | EXAM                | INER .           |
|                 | PATENT LA       |                           | W          |                        | WANG, SI            | IENGJUN          |
|                 |                 | LDA FARMS<br>ON, NJ 07940 |            |                        | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                 | 1711 1210014, 1 |                           |            |                        | 1617                |                  |
|                 |                 |                           |            |                        |                     |                  |
|                 |                 |                           |            |                        | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                 |                 |                           |            |                        | 02/04/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/780,973   | BOSCHELLI ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Shengjun Wang  | 1617   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | pears on the cover sheet with  | the correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  | VIO OFT TO EVOIDE AMON   | ITHON OR THIRTY (20) DAVE  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA<br>136(a). In no event, however, may a reply<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS<br>e, cause the application to become ABAN | TION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 C   | october 2007.  | ·  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This  | s action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1   | 1, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-56 is/are withdraw  | n from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>57-65</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc  | epted or b) ☐ objected to by   | the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | drawing(s) be held in abeyance   | . See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  | •  | •  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | caminer. Note the attached O   | office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1   | 19(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document  | s have been received.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>   | s have been received in App  | lication No  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  |  | ceived in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau   | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | of the certified copies not rec  | ceived.  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  | •  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Sum   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  |  | fail Date mal Patent Application   |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 6) Other:  |  |  |  |  |  |

10/780,973 Art Unit: 1617

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-56 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on October 29, 2007.
- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of invention group II, claims 57-65, and 4-[(2,4-Dichloro-5-methoxyphenyl)amino]-6-methoxy-7-[3-(1-methylpiperidine-4-yl)propoxy]quinoline-3-carbonitrile, in the reply filed on October 29, 2007 is acknowledged.
- 3. The claims have been examined insofar as they read on elected invention and species.

## **Double Patenting Rejections**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 57-65 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,780,996. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because '996

Application/Control Number:

10/780,973 Art Unit: 1617

claims a process of making the compounds herein claimed. For the elected compound see, particularly claim 13, or column 49, lines 7-9. It would have been obvious to make the compounds herein claimed by the process of '996 as it is particularly claimed for making the compounds.

6. Claims 57-65 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 11-20 of copending Application No. 10/980,097. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because '097 claims a pharmaceutical composition essentially the same as herein claimed. Note, intended use of a composition would not further limit the composition as claimed.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 57-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boschelli et al. (US 6,780,996)

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C.

Application/Control Number:

10/780,973 Art Unit: 1617

102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Boschelli et al. teaches the compounds herein and its usefulness as pharmaceutical agent. See, particularly, the abstract, column 10, lines 25-28, and column 49, lines 7-9. As to the pharmaceutical composition recited in claims 63 and 64, note since the compounds herein are taught as pharmaceutical agents, a composition comprising the compound and pharmaceutical acceptable excipients would have been at once envisioned by an ordinary skill in the art.

## Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 65 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Boschelli et al. (US 6,780,996)

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter

10/780,973 Art Unit: 1617

disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

The teaching of Boschelli et al. has been discussed above.

Boschelli et al. do not teach expressly an intravenous composition comprising the compounds.

However, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to make a pharmaceutical dosage suitable fro administration by conventional route, such as intravenous administration as such dosage forms would have been within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Page 6

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shengjun Wang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617

SHENGJUNWANG PRIMARY EXAMINEF: